NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING

Pursuant to Section 54954.2 of the Government Code of the State of California, a Regular meeting of the **Oversight Board to the Successor Agency of the City of Tracy Community Development Agency** is hereby called for:

Date/Time: Tuesday, September 2, 2014, 3:30 p.m.

(or as soon thereafter as possible)

Location: City Council Chambers, City Hall

333 Civic Center Plaza, Tracy, CA. 95376

Government Code Section 54954.3 states that every public meeting shall provide an opportunity for the public to address the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency of the City of Tracy Community Development Agency on any item, before or during consideration of the item, however no action shall be taken on any item not on the agenda.

- CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE In accordance with <u>Procedures for Preparation</u>, <u>Posting and Distribution of Agendas and the Conduct of Public Meetings</u>, adopted by Resolution 2008-140 any item not on the agenda brought up by the public at a meeting, shall be automatically referred to staff. If staff is not able to resolve the matter satisfactorily, the member of the public may request a Board Member to sponsor the item for discussion at a future meeting.
- 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- 5. OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF TRACY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS)
- 6. UPDATE ON LITIGATION WITH DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
- 7. UPDATE ON STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE AUDIT
- 8. ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE
- 9. ADJOURNMENT

Date Posted: August 28, 2014

The City of Tracy complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act and makes all reasonable accommodations for the disabled to participate in public meetings. Persons requiring assistance or auxiliary aids in order to participate should call City Hall (209-831-6000), at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

Any materials distributed to the majority of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency of the City of Tracy Community Development Agency regarding any item on this agenda will be made available for public inspection in the City Clerk's office located at 333 Civic Center Plaza, Tracy, during normal business hours.

OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CITY OF TRACY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 3:30 p.m.

City Council Chambers, 333 Civic Center Plaza Web Site: www.ci.tracy.ca.us

- 1. CALL TO ORDER Chair Sensibaugh called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.
- 2. ROLL CALL Roll call found Chair Sensibaugh, Board Members Borwick, Maciel, Thomas, Miller, and Khan present; Board Member Puentes-Griffith absent. Also present were Robert Harmon, Senior Accountant, and Adrianne Richardson, Recording Secretary.
- 3. ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE None
- 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES It was moved by Board Member Maciel and seconded by Board Member Borwick to approve the regular meeting minutes of September 3, 2013, and November 5, 2013. All in favor; passed and so ordered.
- OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF TRACY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS) Robert Harmon, Senior Accountant, presented the staff report. Effective February 1, 2012, the State of California dissolved redevelopment agencies through the passage of ABX1 26 and replaced them with successor agencies. The Tracy City Council elected to serve as the Successor Agency for the former City of Tracy Community Development Agency (CDA). The City Council previously approved an Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedule (EOPS) which listed various financial obligations of the City's former CDA. The law now requires that successor agencies adopt a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) twice a year listing all enforceable obligations proposed for payment and the Oversight Board approve the ROPS.

The State Department of Finance (DOF), once again, revised the forms used for submission of the ROPS in January 2014, and the forms were received by the Successor Agency on January 16, 2014. Due to notification requirements of the Brown Act Open Meeting Law, the earliest that the ROPS can be presented to the Successor Agency is February 4, 2014, following the meeting of the Oversight Board. The request includes the minimum \$125,000 allowed for Successor Agency administration costs reduced by \$7,762 in prior period adjustments for unspent funds. Any unspent administrative cost allowance is used to offset future requests. The remaining \$1,576,993 is for outstanding debt obligations of the former CDA including \$655,522 for 2003 Tax Allocation Bond A payments, \$521,471 for 2003 Tax Allocation Bond B payments, and \$400,000 for a 2008 Lease Revenue Bond obligation. These bond payments will continue through 2034 for the Tax Allocation Bonds and 2038 for the Lease Revenue Bonds.

At the November 5, 2013, meeting the Oversight Board had to approve the revised forms because a reconciliation of fund balances form was added, which had not been

required in the past. The addition of the form caused confusion and late filings throughout the state. The DOF has again revised the forms. The DOF eliminated the reconciliation of fund balances and replaced it with a report of cash balances. This is significant because the DOF has a mechanism to reconcile the actual expenditures from the earliest closed ROPS period. The DOF can look back at requested amounts, which in the City of Tracy's case was \$125,000 for administrative cost allowance. The City actually incurred approximately \$118,000 in administrative costs for that period, leaving extra funds sitting there. The City cannot keep the difference. The form carries forward the unused funds and reduces the City's request for the period. Mr. Harmon added that will be the process going forward. The DOF will look back 12 months to the closed ROPS period, which was ROPS 13-14A, July through December 2013. Because of this and anticipated higher legal costs as litigation versus the DOF moves through the court system, the City has chosen to maintain the request at the minimum \$125,000 amount knowing that the DOF will carry forward any remaining funds on future requests.

Additionally, the DOF released the forms at 8:38 pm on January 15, 2014. January 16, 2014, was the date that these forms became available. It took a couple of days to complete the cash balance reconciliation to compare to prior reports that had been sent for the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Statement and determine the request amount. Unfortunately January 16, 2014, was the agenda posting date as required by the Brown Act to get it to the Successor Agency, and the City Council prior to the meeting date of January 21, 2014. In order to meet the Brown Act requirements and the DOF submission requirements without calling a special meeting, it became necessary to submit this to the Oversight Board before going to the Successor Agency. The action is before the Successor Agency at the February 4, 2014, meeting.

There is no fiscal impact. Recognized obligations are paid from property tax revenue previously allocated to the City of Tracy CDA.

Staff recommended that the Oversight Board adopt a resolution approving the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule 14-15A of the former Tracy Community Development Agency for the period July 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014, contingent upon approval by the Successor Agency.

Board Member Khan asked if legal costs exceed the \$125,000 administrative cost, where would the money come from.

Mr. Harmon responded that the legal costs can be listed as a separate line item. The \$125,000 administrative cost is for six months, so essentially that is \$250,000 per year. Staff does not see needing the funds. Mr. Harmon added there is not a lot of activity and it will diminish in the future.

Chair Sensibaugh stated he did not have a problem with the administration, but would rather budget the monies appropriately instead of having to come back. Chair Sensibaugh further stated it was unusual that the Oversight Board was acting on the item before the Successor Agency and had this not needed to be as fast tracked, it could become a problem in the future if there is disposable property. Chair Sensibaugh stated he felt the board would rather have the Successor Agency act before the Oversight Board, but in this case he did not see a problem.

Mr. Harmon responded staff has placed this item on the agenda for discussion regarding meeting dates so future problems can be avoided.

It was moved by Board Member Maciel and seconded by Board Member Khan to adopt Resolution OB2014-001 approving the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) of the Tracy Community Development Agency. All in favor; passed and so ordered. Board Member Puentes-Griffith absent

6. LITIGATION UPDATE – Robert Harmon, Senior Accountant, presented the staff report. At the November 5, 2013, meeting Chair Sensibaugh asked for a standing item regarding litigation. Staff will be adding the litigation update to every agenda until the issue is resolved. However, staff did not have any updates since the last meeting. A hearing is still scheduled on July 18, 2014. Mr. Harmon added there have been some favorable decisions that have come down for some other agencies on similar points.

Board Member Maciel asked if the hearings were being held in Sacramento or different regions throughout the state. Mr. Harmon responded AB1X 26 required that all litigation go through Sacramento. Mr. Harmon added there are approximately three judges handling the litigation and there are close to 100 cases. Some cases have preliminary rulings.

Board Member Maciel asked if the Sacramento County court system was handling it. Mr. Harmon responded yes.

7. DISCUSSION ON FUTURE MEETING DATES - Robert Harmon, Senior Accountant, presented the staff report. Mr. Harmon stated there will continue to be a conflict with the Department of Finance (DOF) regarding the release of their documents. In order to meet City Council calendar requirements, items have to be sent to the City Manager's office approximately three weeks prior to the meeting for review. Mr. Harmon stated it was almost impossible to submit a report last minute, which can make it prone to errors. The Oversight Board set the meetings for the first Tuesday of each month. Mr. Harmon added there are two issues 1) meetings would occur before the City Council meetings, and 2) the Oversight Board does not have a lot of action. Mr. Harmon further stated the Oversight Board has previously discussed whether a standing monthly meeting was necessary. At this time there will only be two actions until completion of the litigation phase and requesting a finding of completion and disposition of the parking lots that remain in the Successor Agency. There will be no other action except for the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPs). Additionally there has been some discussion in Sacramento about changing the adoption of ROPs to annually instead of a semi-annually. Mr. Harmon stated it makes a lot of sense to change the adoption of the ROPs to annually because the obligations are known far enough in advance. Mr. Harmon stated he wanted the Oversight Board to discuss whether or not to change the meeting from the first Tuesday to the second or third Tuesday of each month, or meet quarterly on the second Tuesday, or call a special meeting.

Chair Sensibaugh stated it would be good to have advance notice of when the Successor Agency would need to act on items and at that time see if the board could meet.

Board Member Thomas stated he preferred to have the meeting scheduled as it is easier to cancel than to arrange a meeting.

Board Member Khan suggested having a scheduled meeting quarterly.

Board Member Maciel stated he has meetings at City Hall on the first and third Tuesday and would prefer to come in early on the first or third Tuesday, rather than the second and fourth Tuesday.

Board Member Khan stated he had no problem with the first and third Tuesday.

Chair Sensibaugh asked if the third Tuesday was preferred instead of the first Tuesday.

Board Member Miller stated he has another meeting on the third Tuesday of each month.

Chair Sensibaugh asked if staff could foresee having the same conflict as occurred today, if the meetings remained on the first Tuesday of the month.

Mr. Harmon responded yes. There may be only a couple more times where conflict could occur before it is transferred to the County. Items could be dealt with as needed through a special meeting.

Chair Sensibaugh stated obviously the City's attorney was agreeable in this particular case. Chair Sensibaugh further stated he wanted to make sure that the Oversight Board's attorney does not have any problems with the board acting prior to the Successor Agency. Chair Sensibaugh indicated he would contact the attorney but in the meantime suggested keeping the meetings to the first Tuesday of the month at 3:30 p.m., and cancel if the meeting is not required.

Board Member Maciel stated he was expressing a preference, but if the meetings were changed to the second Tuesday of the month, he could attend.

Chair Sensibaugh stated the Oversight Board could continue the same way. Chair Sensibaugh indicated he was not available for the March 2014, meeting and suggested canceling the March 2014, meeting since there were no items to place on an agenda.

Mr. Harmon stated the next ROPS would be due September 1, 2014, which is a holiday. The first Tuesday is September 2, 2014, so the Oversight Board could meet on September 2, 2014. There we would be plenty of time to get the forms to the Successor Agency for their action.

Chair Sensibaugh asked if staff anticipated the Oversight Board needing to meet before September, 2014.

Mr. Harmon suggested cancelling the meetings each month just in case items come up that require action. Mr. Harmon stated he did not anticipate a meeting being required until September, 2014, unless the ROPs is not accepted by the Department of Finance.

8. ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE - None

| 9. | | by Board Member Maciel and seconded by Board p.m. All in favor; passed and so ordered. |
|----|----------------------------|---|
| | | Chair |
| | Successor Agency Secretary | _ |

AGENDA ITEM 5

REQUEST

OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF TRACY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Tracy has elected to act as the Successor Agency for the former City of Tracy Community Development Agency following the dissolution of redevelopment agencies by the California State Legislature in February 2012. The attached Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule lists the Enforceable Obligations proposed for payment by the Successor Agency for the period January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2015, as required by law. The Oversight Board is required to approve certain Successor Agency actions including approval of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule.

In summary, of the \$2,673,993 in enforceable obligations for this six-month period, \$2,547,893 is for outstanding debt obligations and fees of the former CDA including \$1,540,522 for 2003 Tax Allocation Bond A payments, \$996,471 for 2003 Tax Allocation Bond B payments. These bond payments will continue through 2034 for the Tax Allocation Bonds and 2038 for the Lease Revenue Bonds. Administrative costs and associated expenses estimated at \$125,000 will be funded with cash currently being held for the Department of Finance. The net request amount is \$2,547,893 after taking into account a prior period adjustment of \$1,100.

DISCUSSION

Effective February 1, 2012, the State of California dissolved redevelopment agencies through the passage of ABX1 26 and replaced them with successor agencies. The City of Tracy City Council elected to serve as the successor agency for the former City of Tracy Community Development Agency (CDA). The City Council previously approved an Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedule (EOPS) which listed various financial obligations of the City's former CDA. The law now requires that successor agencies adopt a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) twice a year listing all enforceable obligations proposed for payment and the Oversight Board approve the ROPS. Attached is the ROPS for the period January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2015. The attached ROPS schedule lists the Enforceable Obligations in a format prescribed by the state Department of Finance (DOF).

This action will approve Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule 14-15B; which lists the various obligations of the former CDA which require payment between January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2015. The ROPS 14-15B was approved by resolution of the Successor Agency on August 19, 2014.

This request includes the minimum \$125,000 allowed for Successor Agency administration costs reduced by \$1,100 in prior period adjustments for unspent funds. Any unspent administrative cost allowance is now used to offset future requests. The remaining \$2,548,993 is for outstanding debt obligations of the former CDA including

Agenda Item 5 Page 2

\$1,540,522 for 2003 Tax Allocation Bond A payments, \$996,471 for 2003 Tax Allocation Bond B payments, and \$12,000 for bond trustee expenses. These bond payments will continue through 2034 for the Tax Allocation Bonds and 2038 for the Lease Revenue Bonds.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact as a result of this staff report. Recognized obligations are paid from property tax revenue previously allocated to the City of Tracy CDA.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Oversight Board adopt the attached resolution approving the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the former Tracy Community Development Agency for the period January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2015.

Prepared by: Robert Harmon, Senior Accountant

Reviewed by: Jenny Haruyama, Administrative Services Director

Maria A. Hurtado, Assistant City Manager

Approved by: Troy Brown, City Manager

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment A – ROPS 14-15B

ATTACHMENT A

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) - Summary

Filed for the January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 Period

| Name | of Successor Agency: | Tracy | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|-----------|--------------|
| Name | of County: | San Joaquin | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Currer | nt Period Requested Fu | nding for Outstanding Debt or Obligat | ion | Six- | ·Month Total |
| A | Enforceable Obligation Sources (B+C+D): | ons Funded with Non-Redevelopment | Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding | \$ | 125,000 |
| В | Bond Proceeds Fu | inding (ROPS Detail) | | | - |
| С | Reserve Balance F | Funding (ROPS Detail) | | | - |
| D | Other Funding (RC | DPS Detail) | | | 125,000 |
| E | Enforceable Obligation | ons Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G | s): | \$ | 2,548,993 |
| F | Non-Administrative | e Costs (ROPS Detail) | | | 2,548,993 |
| G | Administrative Cos | sts (ROPS Detail) | | | - |
| Н | Current Period Enfor | | \$ | 2,673,993 | |
| Succe | ssor Agency Self-Repo | rted Prior Period Adjustment to Curre | nt Period RPTTF Requested Funding | | |
| 1 | Enforceable Obligation | s funded with RPTTF (E): | | | 2,548,993 |
| J | Less Prior Period Adju | stment (Report of Prior Period Adjustme | nts Column S) | | (1,100) |
| K | Adjusted Current Per | iod RPTTF Requested Funding (I-J) | | \$ | 2,547,893 |
| Count | y Auditor Controller Re | ported Prior Period Adjustment to Cur | rent Period RPTTF Requested Funding | | |
| L | Enforceable Obligation | s funded with RPTTF (E): | | | 2,548,993 |
| М | _ | stment (Report of Prior Period Adjustme | nts Column AA) | | - |
| N | Adjusted Current Per | iod RPTTF Requested Funding (L-M) | | | 2,548,993 |
| Certific | cation of Oversight Board | Chairman: | Paul Sensibaugh | | Chairman |
| Pursua | ant to Section 34177 (m) | of the Health and Safety code, I | | | |
| • | - | a true and accurate Recognized or the above named agency. | Name | | Title |
| Obliga | don't ayment ooneddie it | or the above hamed agency. | /s/ | | |
| | | | Signature | | Date |

ATTACHMENT A

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) - ROPS Detail January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

| 1 2 | В | С | D | E | F | G | н | I | J | К | L | М | N | 0 | P |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | Funding Source | | | |
| 1 2 | | | | | | | | | | Non-Redeve | lopment Property | | | | 1 |
| 1 2 | | | | | | | | Total | | | (Non-RPTTF) | 1 | RP [*] | ΠF | 4 |
| 1 2 | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Obligation Type | Contract/Agreement Execution Date | Contract/Agreement Termination Date | Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | Outstanding Debt or Obligation | Retired | Bond Proceeds | Reserve Balance | Other Funds | Non-Admin | Admin | Six-Month Total |
| 2 2 | | | | | | | Froject Area | \$ 85,911,712 | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 125,000 | \$ 2,548,993 | \$ - | \$ 2,673,993 |
| 214 | 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds A 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds A | Bonds Issued On or Bonds Issued On or | 12/1/2003 12/1/2003 | 12/1/2034 12/1/2034 | BNY Mellon BNY Mellon | Debt Principle Thru 2034 Debt Interest Thru 2034 | 1 | 27,730,000 15,571,078 | N N | | | | 885,000 655,522 | | 885,000 655,522 |
| | | Before 12/31/10 | | | | | | | IN | | | | | | |
| 3 2 | 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds B | Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10 | 12/1/2003 | 12/1/2034 | BNY Mellon | Debt Principle Thru 2034 | 1 | 17,245,000 | N | | | | 475,000 | | 475,000 |
| 4 : | 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds B | Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10 | 12/1/2003 | 12/1/2034 | BNY Mellon | Debt Interest Thru 2034 | 1 | 12,550,114 | N | | | | 521,471 | | 521,471 |
| | | Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10 | | | City of Tracy | Agency Share of City debt thru 2038 | 1 | 10,000,000 | N | | | | - | | |
| 7 8 | Sucessor Agency Admin Costs | Admin Costs | 1/1/2013 | 6/30/2014 | City of Tracy | Sucessor Agency Administration | 1 | | N | | | 125,000 | | - | 125,000 |
| | 2003 Tax Alloc. Bonds A & B SERAF | Fees SERAF/ERAF | 12/1/2003 1/31/2012 | 6/30/2014 | BNY Mellon City of Tracy Housing | Payee and trustee expenses SERAF | 1 | 12,000 2,803,520 | N N | | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 10 | SERAF | SERAF/ERAF | 1/31/2012 | | City of Tracy Housing | SERAF | | 2,803,520 | N N | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 12 | | · | | | | | | | N | | | | | | - |
| 13 14 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | 1 |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | _ | | _ | | N | | | | | | |
| 17 18 | | | _ | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | + |
| 19 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 31 32 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | , |
| 34 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 35 36 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 36 37 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 38 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 39 40 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 41 | | | H | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 42 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 43 44 | | | ļ | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | | | | + | N N | | | | | | 1 |
| 46 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 47 48 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | - |
| 48 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | — |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 51 | | | | | | | _ | | N | | _ | | | | |
| 52 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 53 54 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 55 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 56 | | · | | | | | | | N | | | | | | - |
| 57 58 | | | | | | | | + | N N | | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 61 62 | | | - | | | | | + | N N | | | | | | + |
| 63 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | |
| 64 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |
| 65 66 | | | | | | | | 1 | N N | | | | | | |
| 67 | | | | | | | | | N N | | | | | | |
| 68 | | | | | | | | | N | | | | | | |

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) - Report of Prior Period Adjustments
Reported for the ROPS 13-14B (January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2014) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a) (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 13-14B Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual expenditures for the ROPS 13-14B (January through June 2014) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 14-15B (January through June 2015) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 13-14B prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller.

| | nuary through June 2015) perio | | | | prior period adj | | | pecifies that the prior p | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | |
|-------|--|--|--------|-------------|------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------------|--|--|--------------|--|-----------------|---|--|--------|--|--|-------------|
| Α | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | | J | К | L | М | N | 0 | Р | Q | R | S | T |
| | | Bond Pro | oceeds | Non-RPTTF E | | | Funds | | | Non-Admin | | | RPTTF Expend | litures | Admin | | | Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 14-15B Requested RPTTF) | |
| Item# | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Authorized | Actual | Authorized | Actual | Authorized | Actual | c Authorized | Available RPTTF (ROPS 13-14B istributed + all other available as of 01/1/14) | Net Lesser of Authorized / Available | Actual | Difference (If K is less than L the difference is zero) | , Authorized | Available RPTTF (ROPS 13-14B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/14) | Net Lesser of Authorized / Available | Actual | Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero) | Net Difference (M+R) | SA Comments |
| | | \$ - \$ | \$ | - \$ 28,925 | \$ 28,925 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,518,144 \$ | 2,518,144 | \$ 2,518,144 | \$ 2,517,044 | \$ 1,100 | \$ 64,825 | | \$ - | | \$ - | \$ 1,100 | |
| | 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds | - | | - | | - | | 850,000 | 850,000 | 850,000 | 850,000 | - | | | | | | - | |
| | 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds | - | | - | | - | | 672,522 | 672,522 | 672,522 | 672,522 | | | | | | | - | |
| | В | - | | - | | - | | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | - | | | | | | - | |
| 4 | 2003 Tax Allocation Bonds | | | | | | | 533,622 | 533,622 | 533,622 | 533,622 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 2008 Lease Revenue | | | | | | | 300,022 | 300,022 | 300,022 | 300,022 | | | | | | | - | |
| | Bonds Tax Administration | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| 7 | Sucessor Agency Admin | - | | - | | <u> </u> | | - | | - | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | Costs 2003 Tax Alloc. Bonds A & | - | | 28,925 | 28,925 | - | | - | | - | | - | 64,825 | 64,825 | 64,825 | 50,71 | 4 | - | |
| O | B | - | | - | | - | | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 10,900 | 1,100 | | | | | | 1,100 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u>-</u> | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | + | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | † | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | _ | | - | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | <u> </u> | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | + | | | | + | | - | | - | | + | + | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | + | | - | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | + | | - | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | <u>-</u> | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | + + | | | | + | | | | | | | + | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | - | |

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) - Report of Cash Balances

(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see https://rad.dof.ca.gov/rad-sa/pdf/Cash Balance Agency Tips Sheet.pdf.

| sa/pat/Cash Balance Agency Tips Sheet.pat. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| АВ | С | D | E | F | G | н | I |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Bond P | roceeds | Reserve | Balance | Other | RPTTF | |
| Cash Balance Information by ROPS Period | Bonds Issued on or before 12/31/10 | Bonds Issued on or after 01/01/11 | Prior ROPS period balances and DDR RPTTF balances retained | | Rent, Grants, Interest, Etc. | Non-Admin and Admin | Comments |
| ROPS 13-14B Actuals (01/01/14 - 06/30/14) | | | , | in a polica (c) | | - 19 | |
| 1 Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 01/01/14) | | | | | | | Col E - includes \$2,251,467 from OFA DDR being held subject to City of Tracy CDA v Matosantos, |
| | 3,748,768 | | 2,378,129 | | | 1,747,249 | et.al. |
| 2 Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/14) RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 13-14B distribution from the County Auditor-Controller during January 2014 | 3,367 | | | | | | |
| 3 Expenditures for ROPS 13-14B Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/14) RPTTF amounts, H3 plus H4 should equal total reported actual expenditures in the Report of PPA, Columns L and Q | | | | | | | |
| 4 Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/14) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed for debt service reserve(s) approved in ROPS 13-14B | 3,752,135 | | 2,378,129 | | | | |
| 5 ROPS 13-14B RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the self-reported ROPS 13-14B PPA in the Report of PPA, Column S | | | No entry required | l | | 1,100 | |
| 6 Ending Actual Available Cash Balance C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5) | | | | | | 4 740 440 | |
| | - | - | - | - | - | 1,746,149 | |
| ROPS 14-15A Estimate (07/01/14 - 12/31/14) | | | | | | | I |
| 7 Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/14) (C, D, E, G = 4 + 6, F = H4 + F4 + F6, and H = 5 + 6) | 3,752,135 | - | 2,378,129 | - | - | 1,747,249 | |
| 8 Revenue/Income (Estimate 12/31/14) RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 14-15A distribution from the County Auditor-Controller during June 2014 | | | | | | | |
| 9 Expenditures for ROPS 14-15A Enforceable Obligations (Estimate 12/31/14) | | | | | | | |
| 10 Retention of Available Cash Balance (Estimate 12/31/14) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amount distributed for debt service reserve(s) approved in ROPS 14-15A | | | | | | | |
| 11 Ending Estimated Available Cash Balance (7 + 8 - 9 -10) | 3,752,135 | - | 2,378,129 | - | - | 1,747,249 | |

| RESOLUTION | |
|------------|--|
|------------|--|

RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE TRACY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS) OF THE TRACY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

WHEREAS, The California state legislature enacted Assembly Bill x1 26 (the "Dissolution Act") to dissolve redevelopment agencies formed under the Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, In January 2012 and pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34173, the City Council of the City of Tracy (the "City Council") declared that the City of Tracy, a municipal corporation (the "City"), would act as successor agency (the "Successor Agency") for the dissolved Community Development Agency of the City of Tracy (the "Former CDA") effective February 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, On February 1, 2012, the Former CDA was dissolved pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34172; and

WHEREAS, The Dissolution Act provides for the appointment of an oversight board (the "Oversight Board") with specific duties to approve certain Successor Agency actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34180 and to direct the Successor Agency in certain other actions pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34181; and

WHEREAS, On August 1, 2011, the Former CDA adopted its latest enforceable obligation payment schedule (the "RDA EOPS") as required pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34169(g); and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34177(I)(2)(A) requires the Successor Agency to prepare a draft recognized obligation payment schedule (the "ROPS") and make associated notifications and distributions; and

WHEREAS, The ROPS must be approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 34177(I)(2)(B) and 34177(j); and

WHEREAS, All actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be adopted by Resolution pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179(e); and

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency approved the ROPS 14-15B on August 19, 2014.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency of the Tracy Community Development Agency does hereby approve the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) for the period of January 1, 2015, through June 30, 2015.

| Resolution Page 2 |
|--|
| |
| ADOPTED, September 2, 2014 by the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency of the Tracy Community Development Agency. |
| AYES: |
| NOES: |
| ABSTAIN: |
| ABSENT: |
| |
| Chair |
| ATTEST: |
| Successor Agency Secretary |