

[print](#)

## **Council to explore options to fill vacant seat**

by Bob Brownne  
11.11.06 - 03:51 am

Now that Tracy voters have chosen Councilman Brent Ives as the city's next mayor, the council must decide who will fill Ives' vacant seat.

The council could appoint a replacement, or it could order a special election and let the city's voters elect the fifth council member.

Those who wanted to see a change on the council said Friday that they want the voters to choose. But most of the candidates said the council should appoint someone.

"Seeing how this vacancy is occurring on the heels of the election, appointing someone based on the election results is the logical step," said former police Capt. Mike Maciel, who came in third out of eight council candidates. He finished within three percent of the vote of Suzanne Tucker, who was re-elected along with Evelyn Tolbert, who received the most votes.

"The fact that I was third in the voting shows I have support from the community," Maciel said.

Mayoral candidate Celeste Garamendi, who lost to Ives, said she would prefer to see a special election. Garamendi said the developer influence she ran against would likely show up again in a council appointment.

"Tracy Hills is no doubt lobbying the council to chose someone who would be on their side regarding these developer agreements," she said, referring to the developer's proposal to build a sports park in exchange for building permit priority for the 5,500-home project west of town.

"It's more important that we ensure the openness and fairness of government," she said.

Tucker and Tolbert, who will begin their ninth years on the council when they are sworn in Dec. 5, both said they will recommend that the council accept

From SJ County Registrar of Voters web site, here are votes for Nov 2006 election.  
Maciel did get 3<sup>rd</sup>.

**TRACY CITY COUNCIL**

	Total	
Number of Precincts	52	
Precincts Reporting	52	100.0 %
Vote For	2	
Total Votes	27565	
ED GABLE	1947	7.06%
CAROLE DOMINGUEZ	3373	12.24%
PETE MITRACOS	2370	8.60%
MICHAEL MACIEL	4476	16.24%
ROGER ADHIKARI	2516	9.13%
EVELYN TOLBERT	5754	20.87%
SUZANNE TUCKER	5192	18.84%
CLIF SCHOFIELD	1887	6.85%

# Unique perspective on open council seat

**By Michael Maciel**

Submitted to the Tracy Press

Since the results of the Nov. 6 election have become clear, there has been a great deal of buzz in town regarding how the soon-to-be-vacated seat of Councilman Bob Elliott should be filled for the remaining two years of his term.

There is a concerted effort, complete with soaring rhetoric, encouraging the appointment of the third-highest vote-getter with little or no consideration of alternative means of filling the seat.

Terms like "do what's right" and "democratic principles" are being employed to support this proposition. I strongly disagree.

While the "third place" solution is seductively simple and certainly has a common-sense appeal initially, when you break down the facts — regardless of who the affected candidate might be — it is a deeply flawed concept.

I believe I am uniquely qualified to address this matter. In the November 2006 election, I placed third in balloting for two open council seats and subsequently applied for the vacant seat created when Councilman Brent Ives was

*When you consider the points that have been raised, it is difficult to rationalize the proposal that an appointment should be based solely on the finishing order of the unsuccessful candidates.*

tion would have been filled based on significantly less than 10 percent of the vote in an election that never included the office in question on the ballot.

This is a poor example of democratic principles.

Those who champion the appointment of the third-place candidate would also have us assume that if the two winners of the election had not been on the ballot, the finishing order of the remainder of the field would have been the same. This is more flawed logic.

As is always the case when I write to the Tracy Press, the opinions I express are mine and mine alone, and I speak as a private citizen. It is my intention to specifically address a process that is being proposed that I believe is improper.

I am not advocating for or against anyone who might desire to fill the vacancy that exists. I have deep respect for all the council candidates who were not elected. Through their service and community involvement, they all have shown they are assets to Tracy.

If a decision is made to

make an appointment to fill the remainder of Councilman Elliott's seat, I would encourage them apply if they so desire, as would I encourage any other eligible citizen who wants to serve on the council.

It should then be the job of the council to objectively interview all applicants and collectively decide who would best serve Tracy. By law, this process would be conducted in open council session to ensure transparency. Another alternative would be to have a special election. This a decision the new council will face.

I do not believe that blindly appointing the third-place candidate would have been the right answer in 2006, and it's not the right answer now. When you consider the points that have been raised, it is difficult to rationalize the proposal that an appointment should be based solely on the finishing order of the unsuccessful candidates.

The community deserves a better, more open process.

■ *Michael Maciel is the mayor pro tem of Tracy and was first elected*

in 2006.

I earned 1,100 more votes than the fourth-place candidate in 2006. Had the council appointed me based solely on that vote difference, those 1,100 votes would have been made golden, having much greater value than any other votes.

It would have also meant that every other voter would have been disenfranchised, in that they would never have had the opportunity to vote for any candidate to fill the remainder of then-Councilman Ives' term.

There were a total of 15,403 voters in the mayoral race of 2006, where there was only one seat in play. This is a more accurate count of actual voters than the council race, where votes can be cast for two candidates. To have appointed me based on my third-place finish would have

meant that an elected position that have been raised, it is difficult to rationalize the proposal that an appointment should be based solely on the finishing order of the unsuccessful candidates.

The community deserves a better, more open process.

■ *Michael Maciel is the mayor pro tem of Tracy and was first elected*

in 2006.